

Sub: Minutes of the meeting of Monitoring Committee on DDA's Performance Budget for the period 1.10.08 to 31.12.08 held on 14.5.09.

A meeting of the Monitoring Committee constituted to review the Performance Budget of DDA for the aforesaid period was held on 14.5.09.

Members/Officials present were:

1. Sh.Nand Lal, FM
2. Sh.Rajiv Pandey, CAO
3. Sh.Ramesh Chandra, CE(Rohini)
4. Sh.A. K. Nigah CE(NZ)/HQ
5. Sh.V.K.Agarwal, CE(EZ)
6. Sh.S.R.Solanki,CE(DWK)
7. Sh. Sh.S.C.Agarwal, SE(HQ)CWG
8. Sh.A.B. Talloo, SE/CC-15/SZ
9. Sh.J.P.Verma, SE/CC-1/SZ
10. Sh.K.R.Hans, Dy.CAO(Plan)
11. Sh.A.K.Datta, Sr.AO(Budget)

Initiating the discussion on the performance budget FM, DDA stated that Engineering Wing has not been able to incur expenditure under Nazul A/c-II and BGDA because the budget provisions have been kept on higher side. He pointed out that at the time of sending the budget proposals no proper exercise is made by the Engineering Wing with the result the amount remains unspent. He advised that in case the expenditure can not be incurred then the same should not be projected in the budget and casual attitude of projecting budget should be discontinued. The projections in the budget estimates should be visualized as per the actual execution of work required on sites.

2. CAO referred to figures compiled in financial performance budget and stated that under;

i) Development of land pro rata expenditure of Rs.492.24 crs. was required to be incurred upto Dec.2008. Against this the actual expenditure incurred was Rs.332.25 crs. Thus an expenditure to the extent of Rs.159.99 crs. which is 32.50% of the pro rata expenditure could not be incurred.

ii) Similarly for Construction of houses and shops pro rata expenditure of Rs.360.67 crs. was to be incurred upto Dec.2008. The actual expenditure incurred was Rs.184.93 crs. Thus an expenditure to the extent of Rs.175.74 crs. which is 48.72% of the pro rata expenditure could not be incurred.

3. FM further informed that if Engineering Wing is not able to incur expenditure projected in the budget estimates then DDA will have to pay crores of rupees as Income Tax as well as penalty and Tax exemption granted to DDA as a Charitable Institution can be withdrawn by Income Tax Authorities.

4. One of the schemes Development of River front Pusta was discussed. It was observed that in BE a budget provision of Rs.12.30 crs. was kept and on pro rata basis upto Dec.2008 a sum of Rs.7.62 crs. should have been spent. Against this an expenditure of Rs.59.68 lacs has been incurred and the short fall is to the extent of 92.17%.

Sub: Minutes of the meeting of Monitoring Committee on DDA's Performance Budget for the period 1.10.08 to 31.12.08 held on 14.5.09.

A meeting of the Monitoring Committee constituted to review the Performance Budget of DDA for the aforesaid period was held on 14.5.09.

Members/Officials present were:

1. Sh.Nand Lal, FM
2. Sh.Rajiv Pandey, CAO
3. Sh.Ramesh Chandra, CE(Rohini)
4. Sh.A. K. Nigah CE(NZ)/HQ
5. Sh.V.K.Agarwal, CE(EZ)
6. Sh.S.R.Solanki,CE(DWK)
7. Sh. Sh.S.C.Agarwal, SE(HQ)CWG
8. Sh.A.B. Talloo, SE/CC-15/SZ
9. Sh.J.P.Verma, SE/CC-1/SZ
10. Sh.K.R.Hans, Dy.CAO(Plan)
11. Sh.A.K.Datta, Sr.AO(Budget)

Initiating the discussion on the performance budget FM, DDA stated that Engineering Wing has not been able to incur expenditure under Nazul A/c-II and BGDA because the budget provisions have been kept on higher side. He pointed out that at the time of sending the budget proposals no proper exercise is made by the Engineering Wing with the result the amount remains unspent. He advised that in case the expenditure can not be incurred then the same should not be projected in the budget and casual attitude of projecting budget should be discontinued. The projections in the budget estimates should be visualized as per the actual execution of work required on sites.

2. CAO referred to figures compiled in financial performance budget and stated that under;

i) Development of land pro rata expenditure of Rs.492.24 crs. was required to be incurred upto Dec.2008. Against this the actual expenditure incurred was Rs.332.25 crs. Thus an expenditure to the extent of Rs.159.99 crs. which is 32.50% of the pro rata expenditure could not be incurred.

ii) Similarly for Construction of houses and shops pro rata expenditure of Rs.360.67 crs. was to be incurred upto Dec.2008. The actual expenditure incurred was Rs.184.93 crs. Thus an expenditure to the extent of Rs.175.74 crs. which is 48.72% of the pro rata expenditure could not be incurred.

3. FM further informed that if Engineering Wing is not able to incur expenditure projected in the budget estimates then DDA will have to pay crores of rupees as Income Tax as well as penalty and Tax exemption granted to DDA as a Charitable Institution can be withdrawn by Income Tax Authorities.

4. One of the schemes Development of River front Pusta was discussed. It was observed that in BE a budget provision of Rs.12.30 crs. was kept and on pro rata basis upto Dec.2008 a sum of Rs.7.62 crs. should have been spent. Against this an expenditure of Rs.59.68 lacs has been incurred and the short fall is to the extent of 92.17%.