

**DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
[BUDGET SECTION]**

No.F.4(3)Budget/Performance Budget/2007-08/88

Dt. 22/5/09

Sub: Minutes of the meeting of Monitoring Committee on DDA's Performance Budget for the period 1.10.08 to 31.12.08 held on 14.5.09.

A meeting of the Monitoring Committee constituted to review the Performance Budget of DDA for the aforesaid period was held on 14.5.09.

Members/Officials present were:

1. Sh.Nand Lal, FM
2. Sh.Rajiv Pandey, CAO
3. Sh.Ramesh Chandra, CE(Rohini)
4. Sh.A. K. Nigah CE(NZ)/HQ
5. Sh.V.K.Agarwal, CE(EZ)
6. Sh.S.R.Solanki,CE(DWK)
7. Sh. Sh.S.C.Agarwal, SE(HQ)CWG
8. Sh.A.B. Talloo, SE/CC-15/SZ
9. Sh.J.P.Verma, SE/CC-1/SZ
10. Sh.K.R.Hans, Dy.CAO(Plan)
11. Sh.A.K.Datta, Sr.AO(Budget)

Initiating the discussion on the performance budget FM,DDA stated that Engineering Wing has not been able to incur expenditure under Nazul A/c-II and BGDA because the budget provisions have been kept on higher side. He pointed out that at the time of sending the budget proposals no proper exercise is made by the Engineering Wing with the result the amount remains unspent. He advised that in case the expenditure can not be incurred then the same should not be projected in the budget and casual attitude of projecting budget should be discontinued. The projections in the budget estimates should be visualized as per the actual execution of work required on sites.

2. CAO referred to figures compiled in financial performance budget and stated that under;
 - i) Development of land pro rata expenditure of Rs.492.24 crs. was required to be incurred upto Dec.2008. Against this the actual expenditure incurred was Rs.332.25 crs. Thus an expenditure to the extent of Rs.159.99 crs. which is 32.50% of the pro rata expenditure could not be incurred.
 - ii) Similarly for Construction of houses and shops pro rata expenditure of Rs.360.67 crs. was to be incurred upto Dec.2008. The actual expenditure incurred was Rs.184.93 crs. Thus an expenditure to the extent of Rs.175.74 crs. which is 48.72% of the pro rata expenditure could not be incurred.
3. FM further informed that if Engineering Wing is not able to incur expenditure projected in the budget estimates then DDA will have to pay crores of rupees as Income Tax as well as penalty and Tax exemption granted to DDA as a Charitable Institution can be withdrawn by Income Tax Authorities.
4. One of the schemes Development of River front Pusta was discussed. It was observed that in BE a budget provision of Rs.12.30 crs. was kept and on pro rata basis upto Dec.2008 a sum

5. In another scheme Development of 472.40 hect. of land in Sect.23,24 & 25 Rohini against pro rata expenditure of Rs.3.25 crs. the actual expenditure incurred was Rs.74.65 lacs and short fall in percentage works out to 77.07%.
6. In respect of above schemes FM pointed out that at the time of finalizing the budget provision at the CE level, the conditions prevailing at site were not kept in mind and the budget provision were proposed on higher side with the result the expenditure could not be incurred. He suggested that budget provision should be co-related with AA & ES accorded to the work and for one work a comprehensive PE should be prepared and AA & ES should not be taken in piece meal. He directed that CEs should assess the budget estimates of their zones at personal level and budget provision should be proposed after ascertaining the site conditions.
7. FM directed that for preparing the PEs no guide lines were available with the Engineering Wing and therefore in order to provide proper guidance to officers/staff at zonal level associated with preparation of Preliminary Estimates and Revised Preliminary Estimates, a work shop may be conducted.
8. CAO pointed out that in budget in a number of schemes EWS houses were proposed to be constructed and in the physical performance budget it has been intimated by the Engineering Wing that tenders have been cancelled. He further informed that under the Escrow Account substantial amount has accumulated and the same is required to be utilized for Construction of EWS houses.
9. Concluding the meeting FM advised that Engineering Wing should project the budgetary estimates on realistic basis, so as to incur the expenditure during the financial year and the same do not remains unutilized.

This issues with the approval of FM, DDA.


Chief Accounts Officer

1. Finance Member.
2. Engineer Member,
3. Sh.Rajesh Gehlot, Councilor, MCD
4. OSD to VC for kind information of the latter.
5. Chief Engineer(HQ),
6. Chief Engineer(EZ),(NZ),(SZ),(RZ),(DWK),(Elect.),CWG-2010